

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY CGSA, INC.)
FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF)
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)
TO CONSTRUCT AN ADDITIONAL CELL)
SITE IN EMINENCE, HENRY COUNTY,) CASE NO. 95-446
KENTUCKY FOR THE PROVISION OF)
DOMESTIC PUBLIC CELLULAR RADIO)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE TO)
THE PUBLIC IN THE B-1 PORTION OF)
RURAL SERVICE AREA NO. 7)

O R D E R

The Commission has received the attached letters from Brad M. Puckett and Robert E. and Susan Z. Hunchman (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Petitioners") regarding the proposed cellular telecommunications facility to be located at 474 Elm Street, Eminence, Henry County, Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Kentucky CGSA, Inc. shall respond to Petitioners' concerns by certified letter, within 10 days of the date of this Order.

2. Kentucky CGSA, Inc. shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date on the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 18th day of December, 1995.

ATTEST:

Don Mills
Executive Director

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Linda K. Brummett
For the Commission

BRAD M. PUCKETT
1944 ELM STREET
EMINENCE, KY. 40019

November 30, 1995

RECEIVED

DEC 04 1995

PUBLIC
COMMISSION

Executive Director's Office
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: PUBLIC NOTICE - PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY
CASE NO. 95-446

To Whom It May Concern:

It has been brought to my attention concerning the proposed construction of a cellular radio tower and equipment shelter. I have reviewed the correspondence in regards to this matter that involves my mother's property that is adjacent to the proposed site.

I also am a property owner in this vicinity as I reside within one mile or less of this proposed site. With this fact in mind, I am in concurrence with my mother's opinion of the tower and equipment shelter construction. I feel this tower construction will have a detrimental effect on the value of this surrounding property, both now and in the future. Thank you for your understanding on this matter.

Sincerely,



Brad M. Puckett

RECEIVED

DEC 08 1995

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Mr. Robert E. Hunchman
Mrs. Susan Z. Hunchman
448 North 43rd Street
Louisville, Kentucky. 40212
Ph.# (502) 447-4526

December 7, 1995

Dear Sir or Ma'am,



Recently we received a letter dated November 29, 1995 from "Sam G. McNamara, Attorney for Kentucky CGSA, Inc." informing us that someone intended to place a 400 foot cellular radio telecommunications guy tower within 500 feet of our property and that we should send our comments about this matter to your office (Executive Director's office at the Public Service Commission of Kentucky). The case number according to the letter is: "Case No. 95-446".

I, Robert Hunchman, and my wife, Susan Hunchman, both strongly oppose this tower being placed near our property. We do NOT want this tower located anywhere near our property, especially within sight of our property because this tower WILL lower our property value and hinder its prospect for future development.

The property is now used for farming purposes and it is also an investment for us. Our property is located right next to the city limits of "Eminence" and Highway 55 runs in front of the property. Should the city of Eminence continue to grow, and we are betting that it will, our land sits in a prime spot for development. A tower means less money for our property from a developer, think about it, who wants an unsightly 400 foot tower near their house ??

We also do NOT want this tower close by because of the high intensity of Radio Frequency (rf) radiation. I have twelve years experience in electronics and communications repair for the U.S. Navy, an Associates degree in Telecommunications, and a FCC "General Radiotelephone Operator License". I know there is not a short term danger from the exposure of the "rf" from the tower transmitting 400 foot up and in my case roughly 300 feet away, however I do have a concern from long term exposure. Cattle grazing in fields near the tower will be exposed daily to this radiation (low I know, but it will be constant). This could cause health problems for the cattle i.e., lowered weight gains, and possible infertility.

We are not against progress, but progress should have its tools/equipment located in their proper place. Locating a transmitting tower near a growing residential community is NOT a proper place. Transmitting Towers belong in isolated areas !!!


Robert E. Hunchman

Susan Z. Hunchman